

State of South Carolina

Office of the Governor

MARK SANFORD

Post Office Box 12267 COLUMBIA 29211

June 26, 2007

The Honorable André Bauer President of the Senate State House, 1st Floor East Wing Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Dear Mr. President and Members of the Senate:

I am hereby returning without my approval S. 816, R-165. This veto is based upon my belief that S. 816, R-165, is unconstitutional.

This bill allows each member of the Darlington County Transportation Committee to be paid from Darlington County "C" Fund revenues \$75 for each meeting in which the member is in attendance. The state Constitution clearly prohibits the enactment of special legislation where a "general law can be made applicable." *See* S.C. Const., Art. III, Section 34 (IX). The General Assembly has established a general statute that prohibits county transportation committees from using "C" funds to pay per diems as administrative expenses. *See* S.C. Code Section 12-28-2740(B), as amended. It is unconstitutional for the General Assembly to pass special legislation, like S. 816, R-165, in contravention of general law. Each county's transportation committee should be subject to the same general law either allowing or disallowing per diems. Legislation like S. 816, R-165, demonstrates the policy basis for Article III, Section 34's, directive that special legislation be avoided in all cases where general legislation can be enacted.

Additionally, S. 816, R-165, affects only Darlington County and is, therefore, clearly an act for a specific county. Such acts are in violation of Article VIII, Section 7, of the Constitution of the State of South Carolina, which provides that "[n]o laws for a specific county shall be enacted."

In summary, I believe the specific nature of S. 816, R-165, renders this Act unconstitutional. For this reason, I am returning S. 816, R-165, to you without my signature.

Sincerely,

Mark Sanford